1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 KEITH RUSSELL JUDD, 10 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-00-0737 FCD JFM PS 11 vs. 12 FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION, 13 et al., Defendants. 14 **ORDER** 15 16 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was 17 referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). 18 On May 9, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein 19 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to 20 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. On May 23, 2005, plaintiff's 21 copy of the findings and recommendations was returned and plaintiff was re-served on May 24, 22 2005. Plaintiff has now filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24 304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 26 proper analysis.

## Case 2:00-cv-00737-FCD-JFM Document 45 Filed 07/08/05 Page 2 of 2

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 9, 2005, are adopted in full;
3	2. Plaintiff's March 11, 2005 motion for relief from judgment is denied.
4	DATED:July 8, 2005
5	
6	/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.
7	United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	